

| | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/044,708 | QIU ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Maureen M. Wallenhorst | 1743 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(3) _____.

(2) Steven Kelber (Appl. Rep.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

12, 14-20

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to make minor amendments to claim 12 in order to provide further clarification, and to cancel withdrawn claims 14-20 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)